

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/674,022	09/29/2003	Richard Jones JR.	39,816-01	4539	
7590 09/30/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
BP America Inc.		DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES			
Docket Clerk	•				
BP Legal, M.C. 5East			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4101 Winfield Road			3744		
Warrenville, II	L 60555			_	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(۱,
0	ď

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/674,022	JONES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William C. Doerrler	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
<i>;</i>							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
)☐ Claim(s) israte objected to.)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
Certified copies of the priority documents							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-26-2004. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-5 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 1 of claim 3, line 3 of claim 4 and line 1 of claim 7, "the turbine" lacks clear antecedent basis since a gas fired turbine and a steam turbine have previously been claimed. Claim 5, depends from claim 3, so it is unclear by its association.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,6,8-10,12,13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garbo (5,025,631).

Garbo shows a gas fired turbine 13 which powers a refrigerant compressor (33) with the refrigerant used to liquefy natural gas. Hot exhaust gas from the gas fired turbine is used to produce steam which is sent to a steam turbine (26) to produce electricity. In regard to claims 9 and 19, it is noted that a .01% reduction of carbon dioxide is considered a reduction of "up to about sixty percent". It is further considered that

Garbo will provide the same benefits as the claimed invention since it uses the same structure. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2,7,11,14,18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garbo '631 in view of Haak.

Garbo discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a natural gas liquefying system which uses gas fired turbines to power refrigerant compressors and steam compressors to produce electricity, substantially as claimed with the exception of using multiple compressors and turbines and using electric starter motors. Haak shows these features to be old in the natural gas liquefying art (see figure 1 for multiple compressors and starter motors 28,29). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit; 3744

the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Haak to modify the liquefying ad generating system of Garbo by using multiple compressors to improve the efficiency of the compression and enable a higher final pressure, and to use electric auxiliary motors to enable easier starting of the device.

Claims 3-5 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garbo in view of any one of Johnson et al, Child et al or Polizzotto. Garbo discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a liquefying system which uses a gas turbine to compress refrigerant, with the exhaust used to provide steam to power a steam turbine which produces electricity, substantially as claimed with the exception of compressing air used in the gas turbine. Johnson et al and Child et al and Polizzotto each show the compression of air to be used in a combustion turbine to be old n the turbine art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill I the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of any one of Johnson et al, Child et al or Polizzotto to modify the system with a combustion turbine of Garbo by compressing the air used in the gas turbine to improve the efficiency of the turbine.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD